



Attorney Docket No.: ISOT-024

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Invention: Method of Controlling Spray)
Distances in a Spray Unit)
Serial No.: 10/723,607)
Filed: 11/26/2003)
1 ST Inventor: Tilton, Charles L.)
Examiner: Doerrler, William C.)
Group Art Unit: 3744)
Attorney Michael S Neustel)

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION DATED AUGUST 16, 2005

Sir:

A. FEE CALCULATION

No Additional Fees Due.

B. APPLICANT'S COMMENTS

1. Overview.

The Official Action rejected Claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,484,521) in view of Roche et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0098341). The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this rejection of these claims.

In proceedings before the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the Examiner bears the burden of establishing a <u>prima facie</u> case of obviousness based upon the prior art. *In re Bell*, 26 USPQ2d 1529, 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1993). *In re Oetiker*, 24 USPQ2d 1443, 1444 (Fed. Cir. 1992). When references cited by the Examiner fail to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, the rejection is improper and will be overturned upon appeal. *In re Fine*, 5 USPQ2d 1596, 1598 (Fed. Cir. 1988). "To support the conclusion that the claimed invention is directed to obvious subject matter, either the references must expressly or impliedly suggest the



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with proper postage as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to:

MAIL STOP NON-FEE AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on November 16, 2005.

MaKell Baumgartner

NEUSTEL LAW OFFICES, LTD 2534 South University Drive, Suite No. 4 Fargo, North Dakota 58103

Telephone: (701) 281-8822 Facsimile: (701) 237-0544 e-mail: michael@neustel.com